

To: Yeh, Alice[Yeh.Alice@epa.gov]
From: Juan_Somoano@oxy.com
Sent: Fri 2/17/2017 1:57:45 AM
Subject: RE: Performance Standards input

10-11 eastern on March 1 works for us. Thanks.
Juan

> On Feb 15, 2017, at 8:48 AM, Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov> wrote:

>

> I'm afraid Mahekar Bilimoria is in India for a month and a half with family duties, so between him being 10 hrs or so ahead of eastern time and Sharon Bailey being 3 hrs behind eastern time (and you guys falling somewhere in between), the time for a joint conference call narrows to 10am-12pm eastern time on 2/28, 3/1 and 3/2. We have a preference for 10-11am eastern on 3/1, but can make the other times work.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Juan_Somoano@oxy.com [mailto:Juan_Somoano@oxy.com]

> Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 6:04 PM

> To: Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov>

> Subject: RE: Performance Standards input

>

> Sounds like one of the middle three days of the week are preferable on our side, so maybe if you wish to propose a convenient time on the 28th, 1st, or 2nd (with maybe a back-up slot), we'll accommodate as necessary.

>

> Unrelated, per your prior communication regarding our status call, tomorrow morning turns out to be tough for me so I am going to slide our Thursday call to the following Thursday if that works, same time slot (3 pm eastern).

>

> Thanks.

> Juan

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Yeh, Alice [mailto:Yeh.Alice@epa.gov]

> Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 2:42 PM

> To: Somoano, Juan P <Juan_Somoano@oxy.com>

> Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Performance Standards input

>

> Yes, let's set up a call to discuss. It probably won't be until late next week or the following week. Do you want to propose some times or shall I?

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Juan_Somoano@oxy.com [mailto:Juan_Somoano@oxy.com]

> Sent: Friday, February 10, 2017 3:27 PM

> To: Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov>

> Subject: Performance Standards input

>

> Hi Alice,

>

> Please see the attached summary of comments/thoughts on Performance Standards prepared by Tetra Tech. As indicated by highlight (legend is at the bottom of the table), we've included a number of questions for future discussion or consideration as well as comment. Notably from my perspective, many of the questions and associated PS document content appears more focused on items we might consider related to the basis of design than a performance standard, so it may be preferable to limit document content in these areas until we have more information, at least for now. I believe a couple of the experts made similar comments, although I don't recall to which topics.

>

> If you think it worthwhile, we'd be happy to join a follow-up call to discuss. In any case, thanks for the opportunity to participate and we look forward to continued cooperative effort on this activity throughout the design process.

>

> Juan

>

>

>